

**SEQR RESOLUTION
HOPKINS RESTORE LLC PROJECT**

A regular meeting of Columbia County Industrial Development Agency (the "Agency") was convened in public session in the offices of the Agency located at One Hudson City Centre, Suite 301 in the City of Hudson, Columbia County, New York on October 6, 2026 at 8:30 o'clock a.m., local time.

The meeting was called to order by the (Vice) Chairperson of the Agency and, upon roll being called, the following members of the Agency were:

PRESENT:

Carmine Pierro	Chairperson
Sarah Sterling	Vice Chairperson
Robert Galluscio	Treasurer
Brian Keeler	Member
Helen Kozel	Member

ABSENT:

Nina Fingar- Smith	Secretary
William Gerlach	Ethics Officer

AGENCY STAFF PRESENT INCLUDED THE FOLLOWING:

Jonathan Spampinato	Chief Executive Officer
F. Michael Tucker	Special Projects Coordinator
Lisa Drahushuk	Administrative Supervisor
Christopher C. Canada, Esq.	Special Counsel
Nadene E. Zeigler, Esq.	Special Counsel

The following resolution was offered by Mr. Galluscio, seconded by Ms. Sterling, to wit:

Resolution No. 1026-04

RESOLUTION ACCEPTING THE DETERMINATION BY THE PHILMONT PLANNING BOARD TO ACT AS LEAD AGENCY FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW OF THE HOPKINS RESTORE LLC PROJECT AND ACKNOWLEDGING RECEIPT OF THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION ISSUED WITH RESPECT THERETO.

WHEREAS, Columbia County Industrial Development Agency (the "Agency") is authorized and empowered by the provisions of Chapter 1030 of the 1969 Laws of New York, constituting Title 1 of Article 18-A of the General Municipal Law, Chapter 24 of the Consolidated Laws of New York, as amended (the "Enabling Act") and Chapter 366 of the 1976 Laws of New York, as amended, constituting Section 895-l of said General Municipal Law (said Chapter and the Enabling Act being hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Act") to promote, develop, encourage and assist in the acquiring, constructing, reconstructing, improving, maintaining, equipping and furnishing of manufacturing, warehousing, research, commercial and industrial facilities, among others, for the purpose of promoting, attracting and developing economically sound commerce and industry to advance the job opportunities, health, general prosperity and

economic welfare of the people of the State of New York, to improve their prosperity and standard of living, and to prevent unemployment and economic deterioration; and

WHEREAS, to accomplish its stated purposes, the Agency is authorized and empowered under the Act to acquire, construct, reconstruct and install one or more “projects” (as defined in the Act), or to cause said projects to be acquired, constructed, reconstructed and installed, and to convey said projects or to lease said projects with the obligation to purchase; and

WHEREAS, Hopkins Restore LLC, a New York limited liability company (the “Company”), presented an application (the “Application”) to the Agency, which Application requested that the Agency consider undertaking a project (the “Project”) for the benefit of the Company, said Project consisting of the following: (A) (1) the acquisition of an interest in an approximately 0.50 acre parcel of land located at 56 Main Street (Tax Map No.: 113.13-1-4) in the Village of Philmont, Town of Claverack, Columbia County, New York (the “Land”), together with an approximately 7,900 square foot building located thereon (the “Facility”), (2) the renovation of the Facility and (3) the acquisition and installation therein and thereon of related fixtures, machinery, equipment and other personal property (the “Equipment”) (the Land, Facility and the Equipment being collectively referred to as the “Project Facility”), all of the foregoing to constitute a 4 unit apartment building with restaurant/commercial/retail space and any other directly or indirectly related activities to be owned and operated by the Company; (B) the granting of certain “financial assistance” (within the meaning of Section 854(14) of the Act) with respect to the foregoing, including potential exemptions from certain sales and use taxes, real property taxes, real estate transfer taxes and mortgage recording taxes (collectively, the “Financial Assistance”); and (C) the lease (with an obligation to purchase) or sale of the Project Facility to the Company or such other person as may be designated by the Company and agreed upon by the Agency; and

WHEREAS, by resolution adopted by the members of the Agency on October 6, 2026 (the “Public Hearing Resolution”), the Agency authorized a public hearing to be held pursuant to Section 859-a of the Act with respect to the Project; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law, Chapter 43-B of the Consolidated Laws of New York, as amended (the “SEQR Act”) and the regulations (the “Regulations”) adopted pursuant thereto by the Department of Environmental Conservation of the State of New York (collectively with the SEQR Act, “SEQRA”), the Agency has been informed that (A) the Philmont Planning Board (the “Planning Board”) was designated to act as the “lead agency” with respect to the Project and (B) on January 18, 2022 the Planning Board issued a Determination of Non Significance (the “Negative Declaration”), which Negative Declaration encompasses the Project and is attached hereto as Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, at the time that the Planning Board determined itself to be the “lead agency” with respect to the Project, it was not known that the Agency was an “involved agency” with respect to the Project, and, now that the Agency has become an “involved agency” with respect to the Project, the Agency desires to concur in the designation of the Planning Board as “lead agency” with respect to the Project, to acknowledge receipt of a copy of the Negative Declaration and to indicate that the Agency has no information to suggest that the Planning Board was incorrect in determining that the Project will not have a “significant effect on the environment” pursuant to SEQRA;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MEMBERS OF COLUMBIA COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AGENCY, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. (A) The Agency has received copies of, and has reviewed, the Application, an environmental assessment form prepared by the Company and the Negative Declaration (collectively, the

“Reviewed Documents”) and, based upon said Reviewed Documents and the representations made by the Company to the Agency at this meeting, the Agency hereby ratifies and concurs in the designation of the Planning Board as “lead agency” with respect to the Project (as such quoted term is defined in SEQRA).

(B) The Agency hereby determines that the Agency has no information to suggest that the Planning Board was incorrect in determining that the Project will not have a “significant effect on the environment” pursuant to SEQRA (as such quoted phrase is used in SEQRA).

Section 2. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing resolution was duly put to a vote on roll call, which resulted as follows:

Carmine Pierro	VOTING	Aye
Sarah Sterling	VOTING	Aye
Nina Fingar-Smith	VOTING	Absent
Robert Galluscio	VOTING	Aye
William Gerlach	VOTING	Absent
Brian Keeler	VOTING	Aye
Helen Kozel	VOTING	Aye

The foregoing resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank]

EXHIBIT A
NEGATIVE DECLARATION
- SEE ATTACHED -

Short Environmental Assessment Form

Part 1 - Project Information

Instructions for Completing

Part 1 -- Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information		
Name of Action or Project: PEACHY UPRSTATE DEVELOPMENT		
Project Location (describe, and attach a location map): 576 MAIN ST., PHILMONT, NY 12534		
Brief Description of Proposed Action: REHABILITATION OF EXISTING STRUCTURE: GROUND FLOOR RETAIL SPACE & (4) WALK-UP APARTMENTS ARE PROPOSED.		
Name of Applicant or Sponsor: PEACHY UPRSTATE	Telephone: 917-447-3079	
	E-Mail: peachyupstate@gmail.com	
Address: 27 8 th ST.		
City/PO: HUDSON,	State: NY	Zip Code: 12534
1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, local law, ordinance, administrative rule, or regulation? If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action and the environmental resources that may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue to question 2.	NO ☐	YES ☐
2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any other government Agency? If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval:	NO ☐	YES ☐
3. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor?	0.143 acres 0.093 acres 0.143 acres	
4. Check all land uses that occur on, are adjoining or near the proposed action: ☐ Urban ☐ Rural (non-agriculture) ☐ Industrial ☒ Commercial ☒ Residential (suburban) ☐ Forest ☐ Agriculture ☐ Aquatic ☐ Other(Specify): ☐ Parkland		

5. Is the proposed action,	NO	YES	N/A
a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?	☐	☒	☐
b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?	☐	☒	☐
6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural landscape?	NO	YES	
	☐	☒	
7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental Area?	NO	YES	
If Yes, identify: _____	☒	☐	
8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?	NO	YES	
	☒	☐	
b. Are public transportation services available at or near the site of the proposed action?	☒	☐	
c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near the site of the proposed action?	☐	☒	
9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements?	NO	YES	
If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies: <u>BUILDING ENVELOP SHALL EXCEED CODE MINIMUMS.</u> <u>MECHANICAL SYSTEMS SHALL BE HIGH EFFICIENCY.</u>	☐	☒	
10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply?	NO	YES	
If No, describe method for providing potable water: _____	☐	☒	
11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities?	NO	YES	
If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment: _____	☐	☒	
12. a. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archaeological site, or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historic Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places?	NO	YES	
	☒	☐	
b. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory?	☒	☐	
13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency?	NO	YES	
	☒	☐	
b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody?	☒	☐	
If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres: _____			

14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check all that apply:		
☐ Shoreline ☐ Forest ☐ Agricultural/grasslands ☐ Early mid-successional ☐ Wetland ☐ Urban ☐ Suburban		
15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered?	NO	YES
	☒	☐
16. Is the project site located in the 100-year flood plan?	NO	YES
	☒	☐
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources?	NO	YES
If Yes,	☐	☒
a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties?	☒	☐
b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains)?	☐	☒
If Yes, briefly describe:		
REFER TO ENGINEER'S SITE PLAN FOR STORM WATER RUN-OFF TO EXISTING CATCH BASINS		
18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that would result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g., retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)?	NO	YES
If Yes, explain the purpose and size of the impoundment:	☒	☐
19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility?	NO	YES
If Yes, describe:	☒	☐
20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste?	NO	YES
If Yes, describe:	☒	☐
I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE		
Applicant/sponsor/name: Christopher L. Russell and Spring V. Sutter Date: 11/29/21		
Signature: <i>[Signature]</i> Title: Partner Owners of Peachy Upstate, Inc.		

PRINT FORM

Page 3 of 3

Created With Tiny Scanner

Agency Use Only (If applicable)

Project: 56 Main St.
Date: 11/18/22

*Short Environmental Assessment Form
Part 2 - Impact Assessment*

Part 2 is to be completed by the Lead Agency.

Answer all of the following questions in Part 2 using the information contained in Part 1 and other materials submitted by the project sponsor or otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the concept "Have my responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?"

	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?	☒	☐
2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?	☒	☐
3. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?	☒	☐
4. Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?	☒	☐
5. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?	☒	☐
6. Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?	☒	☐
7. Will the proposed action impact existing: a. public / private water supplies?	☒	☐
b. public / private wastewater treatment utilities?	☒	☐
8. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources?	☒	☐
9. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)?	☒	☐
10. Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems?	☒	☐
11. Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health?	☒	☐

PRINT FORM

Page 1 of 2

Created With Tiny Scanner

Agency Use Only (If applicable)

Project: SE Main
Date: 11/18/22

Short Environmental Assessment Form
Part 3 Determination of Significance

For every question in Part 2 that was answered "moderate to large impact may occur", or if there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action may or will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact, please complete Part 3. Part 3 should, in sufficient detail, identify the impact, including any measures or design elements that have been included by the project sponsor to avoid or reduce impacts. Part 3 should also explain how the lead agency determined that the impact may or will not be significant. Each potential impact should be assessed considering its setting, probability of occurring, duration, irreversibility, geographic scope and magnitude. Also consider the potential for short-term, long-term and cumulative impacts.

None

☐ Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts and an environmental impact statement is required.	
☒ Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.	
<u>Philmont Plan Bel</u> Name of Lead Agency	<u>11/18/22</u> Date
<u>Robert M. Karlson</u> Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	<u>Chairman</u> Title of Responsible Officer
<u>Robert M. Karlson</u> Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	<u>[Signature]</u> Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)

PRINT FORM

Page 2 of 2

Created With Tiny Scanner